

#### SITA OnAir USA POA Base Frequency

**ASRI/AFC Spring Meeting** 

March, 2015, Melbourne, FL



#### Things to consider

- **1.** Background info
- **2.** Precedents
- **3.** USA POA frequency change
- **4.** Conclusions



# **Background information**

- Until early 1990s, DSPs operated mostly on unique POA base frequencies:
  - ARINC 131.550, SITA 131.725 (except Australia 131.550),
  - Air Canada 131.425, AVICOM 131.450

Avionics – frequency selection easy to manage with a «flat» list (sequentially scanned by MU)



## **Background information...**

- DSP coverage expansion triggers need for new base frequencies
- □ Initial, new POA base frequency choices:
  - ARINC 131.725 in S. Korea
- Further expansion (circa late 90s) requires new frequencies:
  SITA 136.850 in North America
  ARINC 136.925 in Europe (in the «unused» VDL band)



# **Background information...**

- Base frequency «ambiguity» leads to unintended traffic with non-contracted DSPs
- Avionics developments:
  - positive DSP identification from squitter uplinks
  - active scan list management via ACARS uplinks
  - geographical filtering emerges in mid 90s
- Idea of worldwide POA frequency harmonization proposed in mid 2000s



#### Precedents

- POA base frequency change has been done before
- 2004 ARINC changed its POA frequency in Europe from 136.925 to 131.825:
  - done over 3 month period
  - service disruption mitigated by duplicated operation on both frequencies at 20 key locations
  - autotuning used to «lead» aircraft to new channel
  - avionics upgrades required



### Precedents...

2

- 2011 SITA changed its POA frequency in Russia from 131.550 to 131.725:
  - done over 12 month period
  - duplicated operation on both frequencies at 6
  - locations to ensure service continuity
  - avionics upgrades required



## **USA POA frequency change**

- Over 400 radios providing POA service on 3 frequencies in USA
- Over 280 airports with POA service on 136.850 base frequency (some with multiple radios)
- Mixed radio population comprising legacy (2000 vintage) Harris MX9325 and new generation Rohde&Schwartz XU4200
- Many installations with Harris radios require (mechanical) cavity filters (upgrade project in progress)
  - Frequency change can be done remotely





## **Frequency change logistics**

- Notification of airline customers and avionics vendors to start avionics adaptations:
  - preparation and loading of modified coverage maps
  - modification to host applications that send uplinks to manage scan masks
  - impact on avionics/airlines that use «flat» scan lists:
    131.725 for SITA service outside USA, not in USA
- Choice of migration approach most likely similar to ARINC's in Europe:
  - necessity to deploy minimum 50 new stations for duplicate duplicate coverage at key airports



## Frequency change logistics...

Cost of service duplication at key airports:

- over \$500K capex for duplicate radios deployments
- \$ license fees
- \$ installation costs
- \$ network connectivity charges
  - \$ project management and supervision



## Frequency change logistics...

Impact on traffic handling:

- USA and Latin America POA coverage would overlap

- USAACARS traffic and Latin America ACARS traffic may have to be handled by different processors (similar to FAA requirements for AOA traffic handling)

 traffic from aircraft in overlap areas would be handled by two processors -> risk of duplicate message reception by airline host









## Conclusions

4

- Change of ACARS POA base frequency is feasible
- Steps required to mitigate service disruptions are costly and require sufficient time to be implemented
- A «forced» migration from 136.850 to 131.725 would have significant impact on SITA's business in USA
- An «organic» migration relying on deployment of 131.725 as a terminal frequency service could provide the necessary foundation for a gradual transition relying on duplicate coverage

